

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/018,180 09/09/2002 | | Michael Offenberg | 10191/2083 | 8538 | |
| ²⁶⁶⁴⁶ KENYON & K | 7590 12/26/2006 ENYON LLP | j | EXAMINER . | | |
| ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004 | | RAO, G NAGESH | | | |
| NEW TORK, I | N1 10004 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | 1722 | | | |
| | • | | | | |
| | | • | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | • | 12/26/2006 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| - |
|-----|
| /• |
| (/ |
| |

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|------------------|--|
| 10/018,180 | OFFENBERG ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| G. Nagesh Rao | 1722 | |

| | G. Nagesh Rao | 1722 | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 11 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is | wing replies: (1) an amendment, affitice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forther than SIX MONTHS from the mailing the set of the date of the mailing at the set forther than SIX MONTHS from the mailing the set forther than SIX MONTHS from the mailing the set forther than SIX MONTHS from the mailing the set forther than SIX MONTHS from the mailing the set forther than SIX MONTHS from the set forther than the set | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one in the final rejection, who date of the final rejecti | rce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following ichever is later. In on. | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brief | , will <u>not</u> be entered b | ecause | | | | | |
| (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be | nsideration and/or search (see NO w); | TE below); | | | | | | |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | ected claims. | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 10-19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | II be entered and an e | explanation of | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affidat | vit or other evidence is | s necessary and | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). | | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attacl | ned. | | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | t does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | | | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☒ Other: See Continuation Sheet. | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Continuation of 13. Other: The amended claims present new issues and potentially new subject matter not considered before by examiner. Nowhere in the remarks did applicant denote where the amended changes could be found in the specification, nor did applicants properly identify claim 19 as "currently amended" but instead presented in the claims as "previously presented" which is not accurate based on the last claim amendment of 3/6/06. The language inserted in the claims raises new issues and therefore cannot be considered at this time which require further consideration and a new search to be conducted.

UCHYDORY PATENT EXAMINE

PECHNOLOGY CENTER 17.